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Experimental studies of pathogenicity of Chicken Infectious Anaemia Virus (3 isolates) in Iran
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ABSTRACT

To evaluate pathogenicity of 3 Chicken Anemia Viruses isolates-CV1, CV2 and CV3 respectively - the current experiment was carried out. After titration of the viruses, 30 one day old SPF chicks were grouped and intramuscularly inoculated with each isolate in a separate group. Two other groups as positive and control groups were inoculated with a live vaccine virus and normal saline respectively. During the study the birds in first three groups clinically showed ruffling feathers, depression and body weight reduction. After 18 days they were weighed, bled and euthanized. Three birds were found dead during the experiment (one in each tested group). Antibodies against CAV in the serum of all 3 groups were detected while no antibody found in negative control group. Hematocrit Values of the three tested groups were under the normal. Grossly the thymus and bursa tissues were severely atrophied. Bone marrow became yellowish and pale. In histopathological study we found aplasia and devoid of erythroid and myeloid cells replacing by fat cells in bone marrow. Severe atrophy and depletion in thymus, bursa of Fabricius and bone marrow tissues statistically were analyzed and showed that the significance difference in comparing with control groups (p<0.05). By using a type specific Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) assay the occurrence of virus was confirmed in thymus and liver tissues. In conclusion the authors interpreted that the 3 strains of CAV were able to infect and induce a wide range of damages in one day old SPF chickens.
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INTRODUCTION

Chicken Anaemia Virus (CAV) is a small non enveloped icosahedral virus with a negative sense, single stranded circular DNA genome. It has been classified as the only member of the genus Gyrovirus of the family Giroviridae (Pringle 1999, Oluwayelu et al 2005). The genome of the virus encodes one structural (VP1) and two nonstructural (VP2 and VP3) proteins(Todd et al 2002). VP3 or apoptin induces apoptosis in infecting cells (Noteborn et al 1994). It is not only the causative agent of chicken infectious anaemia (CIA) and an immunosuppressive disease of young chickens, but also infects the chickens of all age groups.

It has been originally isolated by Yuasa et al. 1979. Chicken is the only recognized natural host, but
serological survey has revealed the prevalence of this disease in domestic and wild birds (Farkas et al. 1998). CAV is important because of its potential for inducing immunosuppressant (Rosenberger & Cloud 1998). The first occurrence of CAV in Iranian broiler chicken flocks has been reported by Toroghi et al. in 2003. However the pathogenesis of these viruses remained obscure. Dual infection of Chickens has been reported by Shoushtari and his colleagues in 2006. CAV can be transmitted horizontally (lack of maternal antibodies) and vertical (hens infected by egg born) routes (Rosenberger & Cloud 1989).

This paper describes the experimental pathogenesis study of 3 isolates of chicken anemia virus (CAV) on SPF chicks which were isolated from different flocks in slaughter house in Tehran province. The pathogenesis of the disease had been obscure. Therefore it was carried out to induce the infection of CAVs in one day old SPF chicks. Also pathological atrophy ratios in thymus, spleen, bursa of Fabricius and bone marrow tissues in comparison with the control groups have been discussed carefully.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**The Preparation, viral isolation and titration procedures.** Liver was homogenized and treated with antibiotics, heating (72 °C in 10 minutes) and chloroform. The liver suspensions were prepared in PBS and antibiotic (100 IU penicillin and 100 µg dihydrostreptomycin per ml) and chloroform were added (0.05 ml CHcl per 1 ml of homogenate). The mixture was centrifuged at low speed (4000 rpm at 40 min.). The supernatants were harvested and incubated in 70 °C for 10 minutes and finally isolated the CAVs. (CAV1, CAV2 and CAV3) respectively. Then day old SPF chicks were intramuscularly inoculated with 0.1 ml of each sample. To confirm that samples were free from influenza, IBD (Infectious Bursal Disease) and Newcastle disease viruses. The liver homogenates was passed in SPF embryonated eggs three times. The absence of Marek Disease virus confirmed by a specific PCR assay. The Chicken Infectious Dose (CID) was measured by inoculation of one day old chicks, using Reed and Mounch method (Reed & Mounch 1938).

**Design of experiment.** Fifty one day old SPF chicks were grouped into five groups and kept in 5 isolated cages through 18 days of this study. The first three groups were inoculated intramuscularly with 0.1 ml of the three CAV isolates respectively. Each inoculums contained $10^2$CID$_{50}$ of each isolate. The fourth group was inoculated with the same dose of a commercial wide used live CAV vaccine. The last group considered as control negative group which inoculated with normal saline. After 18 days all live chicks were weighed and collected blood samples. Then they were euthanized for clearly consideration and examination for gross and histopathological changes.

**Packed blood cell volume and ELISA.** By using the microhematocrit method, the packed cell volumes (Hematocrit) were determined on a blood samples collected from each bird (18 days after inoculation). CIAV antibodies were measured using the Competitive Eliza Test, FlockChek® CAV (IDEXX, USA) according to the manufacturer instruction at a 1:10 serum dilution.

**DNA extraction and PCR.** The presences of CAVs in samples were confirmed by a described type specific PCR method using primers C1 (CCAAGAAGATACTCCACCCG) and C2 (TACGATACCGCTGTCTCCTC) as described (Imai et al. 1998). Viral DNA was extracted from 200 µl homogenate tissue samples using the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid kit (Roche, Germany) and dissolved in 50 µl distilled water. The PCRs were performed with 4 µl of the extracted DNA in a final volume of 50 µl using the following condition: denaturation (94 °C, 1 min.), annealing (48 °C, 2 min.), extension (72 °C, 90s), 25 cycles followed by a final extension (72 °C, 10 min). The final products was analyzed by electrophoresis in a 2% agaros gel, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized by UV translumination (UVP).

**Histopathology.** After 18 days the birds were euthanized. The liver, bursa of Fabricius, spleen, bone
Marrow, thymus and skeletal muscle were fixed at neutral buffered 10% formalin, processed and embedded in paraffin wax. The blocks were sectioned by rotary microtome in 5μm thickness and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin. The lesions of bursa and thymus evaluated for lymphocyte depletion and scored as 1: normal, 2: mild, 3: moderate and 4: severe infections. The most severe lesion score was recorded for each flock (Hagood et al 2000).

Statistical analysis. The significance of data from body weight, Hematocrit value and atrophy index of thymus and bursa Fabricius were determined by one way analysis of variance using SPSS package (version 3.1).

RESULTS

Clinical and macroscopic findings. All chicks in three test groups showed raffled feather and among them some showed hemorrhage in thigh muscles (Figure 1).

Three chicks were found dead during the study in groups (1, 2 and 3) between days 11-15 after inoculation. The inoculated chicks became emaciated and depressed because of anemia and severe atrophy of the thymus (Figure 2). Bone marrow of the femur was hypoplastic and yellowish appearance (Figure 3). Liver tissue showed enlargement and discoloration. Bursa fabricius indicated atrophy about half normal size (Figure 4). As it has been shown in table 1 the body weights of the tested groups were significantly less than those of the vaccine and the control groups (P<0.05).

Blood and serum investigation. The mean Hematocrit values (table 1) of all three tested groups were significantly reduced in comparison with control ones (P<0.05). The competitive ELISA Test detected that all of the chicks had antibody against CAV (table 1). Also the occurrence of anisocytosis, reticulocytes and immature erythrocytes were observed which indicating of reversible anaemia.

The PCR assay technique. To follow the existence of the virus in different steps of this study, specific PCR with amplifying 450 base pairs PCR product was used. The presence of virus was confirmed by using PCR assay in liver, thymus, spleen, bone and bursal tissues (Figure 5).
Histological findings. Main histopathological lesions of the affected chicks were included: hyperplasia of immature hematopoietic cells undergoing to severe aplasia or hypoplasia replacing by fat cells (75%).

A few cells had eosinophilic inclusion bodies in their nuclei (Figure 8). Shrinkage of follicles in the bursa which included moderate to severe depletion of lymphocytes with hyperplasia of reticular cells were observed (Figure 9).

Depletion of the erythrocyte and granulocytic cells within the intra and extra vascular were also prominent in the bone marrow (Figure 6). In the thymus severe depletion and karyorrhexis of lymphocytes were scattered in the cortex and lobular atrophy included hyperplasia of reticular cells were present (Figure 7).

In the spleen hyperplasia of reticular cells and repopulation of lymphocytes were observed. Also hepathocytes of the liver showed degeneration. Semi quantitative histological findings expressed the atrophy index for each tissue. However the data was statistically different in comparison with the thymus, bone marrow and bursa fabricius with control group, P<0.05 (table 2).

DISCUSSION

Yuasa and co-workers were first to report chicken anemia virus (CAV) in 1979. Since then a large number of published material have focused on serological
detection of CAV. Nevertheless only a few have successfully isolated and characterized viruses.

In Iran, the infection was initially noted by Toroghi at abattoir in 2003. He reported extensive hemorrhage in skeletal muscles of infected carcasses, a finding that was repeatedly reported by other Iranian workers (Farhoodi et al 2007) in the following years. While CAV infection is understood to be most pathogenic in young growing birds, until now the infection has only been traced in slaughter age chickens in Iran. The present work therefore, was conducted to investigate the pathogenicity of CAV in day-old chickens.

In the course of research, three clinical Iranian CAV isolates were used to infect chickens and in consequence, all the birds developed the expected clinical symptoms. In postmortem examination, lesions induced by three viruses showed generally identical distribution pattern with only little difference in terms of severity and an observation that was previously highlighted by other investigators (Yuasa et al 1985, Schat & van Saton 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>CAV1</th>
<th>CAV2</th>
<th>CAV3</th>
<th>Vac.</th>
<th>Con.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>124.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elisa Vac.</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vac.=Vaccine , Con.=Control.

Another intriguing finding of this study was the fact that all infected birds in the three study groups, proved seropositive against CAV antibody at the end of study period when they were 18 days old. This is in contrast with observation of other works that despite apparent clinical symptoms, diseased chicken failed to develop seropositive blood test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Thymus Mean</th>
<th>Bone marrow Mean</th>
<th>Bursal Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA1</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This discrepancy might be explained by application of different antibody detection strategies used by researchers. (Toro et al 1997) We have used a Competitive ELISA method compared to immunofluorescence based systems that were employed by majority of other workers (Yuasa et al 1980, Yuasa et al 1985, McNulty et al 1989). The lower hematocrit counts seen in infected chickens here compared to the controls can be reasoned by virus tropism to hemopoietic cells which is materialized by extensive lesions in bone marrow. The yellowish appearance of bone marrow in diseased birds is an indication of replacing normal tissue with fat cells.
Following pathological changes in hemopoietic system, deficiency in oxygen supplying capability of blood will result in failure to gain weight. This condition was seen in chicken under test where infected birds suffered from sever cachexy compared to control group that gained normal weight. Practicing an evidence-based policy, the Iranian Veterinary Organization (IVO) currently encourages farmers to implement a prevention plan against CAV through vaccination of their breeder flocks. By the time CAV vaccination is conducted broadly at national scale, circulation of CAV in broiler farms is imminent. The fact that reports on clinical cases of CAV in week-old and younger chickens in this country are few most likely reflects an infection in the breeder farms. This infection would then have resulted in developing some level of protection in broiler chickens that passively received from the associated breeder farm. Obviously, this type of protection is transient and the growing chickens will eventually turn unprotected against CAV as they become older. Furthermore, the present study displayed the detrimental impacts of CAV on immune system of chickens with apparent concentration in thymus.

The supporting clues can be inferred from reports on secondary infection with bacterial and fungal agents and also vaccination failures in CAV-infected chickens (Otaki et al 1988, Jeurissen et al 1989, McNulty et al 1995).

Shoushtari and co-workers in 2006 have described co-infection cases of CAV with Marek and influenza viruses in Iran. Taking all these observations into consideration, application of active immunization before maternal immunity of young chickens drops to its protective levels, seems to be necessary.
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